

This article was downloaded by:

On: 28 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857>

Structural Theory of The Liquid-Gas Critical Point

N. H. March^a

^a Theoretical Chemistry Department, University of Oxford, Oxford, England

To cite this Article March, N. H.(1993) 'Structural Theory of The Liquid-Gas Critical Point', *Physics and Chemistry of Liquids*, 25: 4, 269 – 272

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319109308030366

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319109308030366>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

LETTER

Structural Theory of The Liquid-Gas Critical Point

N. H. MARCH

*Theoretical Chemistry Department, University of Oxford, 5, South Parks Road,
Oxford OX1 3UB, England*

(Received 9 July 1992)

Recent work on the liquid-gas critical point by Zhang and Badiali invokes the Callan-Symanzik equation from renormalisation group theory. The relation of this approach to structural theory, based on a form of the three-atom correlation function near the critical point is discussed. Some further knowledge of this function can be gained by invoking the $\varepsilon = 4 - D$ expansion, where D is the dimensionality.

KEY WORDS: Force equation, direct correlation function

Though the renormalization group technique has considerably aided our understanding of critical behaviour, a major problem which remains for the liquid-gas critical point is to relate critical behaviour to liquid structure theories.

An early important step in the latter context was taken by Choy and Mayer¹, who proposed to use the BBGKY hierarchy of equations of liquid-state structural theory. Though their work was certainly illuminating, the approximations to which they ultimately had recourse led them back to what was, essentially, the original Ornstein-Zernike (OZ) theory². Experiment amply demonstrates the need to transcend this treatment³.

Further work with related aims is that of Reatto and his co-workers^{4,5}. These workers took the next step towards a final solution by attempting to combine the liquid-state hierarchical equations with the ideas of renormalization group theory. Very recently, Zhang and Badiali⁶ have extended this work considerably, but their explicit results beyond OZ theory were obtained by working only to lowest order in the $\varepsilon (= 4 - D, \text{ with } D \text{ the dimensionality})$ expansion.

In Ref 6, a formal separation of the OZ direct correlation function (DCF) into a short plus a long range part was invoked; the first point in this Letter is to propose a completely defined separation of this kind, using the earlier work of Kumar *et al.*^{7–9}. Defining the OZ DCF from the pair function $g(r) = 1 + h(r)$ by

$$h(r) = c(r) + \rho \int h(r')c(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)d\mathbf{r}' \quad (1)$$

then in Ref 7 one starts from the relation

$$\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right)_T = k_B T(1 - \tilde{c}(0)); \quad \tilde{c}(0) = \rho \int c(r) dr. \quad (2)$$

Kumar *et al.* then compared this with the result obtained by differentiating the virial expression for the pressure p , namely

$$p = \rho k_B T - \frac{\rho^2}{6} \int g(r) r \frac{\partial \phi(r)}{\partial r} dr, \quad (3)$$

where $\phi(r)$ is the (assumed) pair potential, with respect to the fluid number density. These workers were then led, rather directly, to the result that the OZ DCF $c(r)$ can be written as the sum of two contributions:

$$c(r) = c_{\text{potential}}(r) + c_{\text{cooperative}}(r) = c_p(r) + c_c(r). \quad (4)$$

Most significant in the present context is the explicit expression they gave for $c_p(r)$:

$$c_p(r) = - \frac{\phi(r)}{k_B T} \left[\frac{A(r)}{2} + \frac{1}{6} r \frac{\partial A}{\partial r} \right]. \quad (5)$$

In this Eq. (5), we have now introduced the function $A(r)$, defined by

$$A(r) = 2g(r) + \rho \frac{\partial g(r)}{\partial \rho} \quad (6)$$

as this function will also play a key role in determining three-particle correlations as the critical point is approached. What is additionally interesting about the explicit separation above is that

$$\int c_p(r) dr = \int c(r) dr; \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \int c_c(r) dr = 0. \quad (7)$$

Having referred already to three-particle correlations above, it is natural to turn next to the so-called "force equation" which is the second member of the BBGKY hierarchy. This is the place where closure is normally applied, via an ansatz for the triplet correlation function $g^{(3)}$, which is related to $\phi(r)$ and $g(r)$ via this force equation.

At the critical point itself, Fisher¹⁰ has given the form of the first two "partial wave" terms $g_i^{(3)}(r, R)$, as¹¹

$$g_0^{(3)}(r, R) = g(r) + A(r)(g(R) - 1) + \text{higher order terms} \quad (8)$$

and

$$g_1^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}, R) = \frac{1}{2} r A(r) \frac{dg(R)}{dR} + \dots \quad (9)$$

The second result (9) is the only part of $g^{(3)}$ which enters the force equation in practice.

Whereas Eqs. (8) and (9) are valid at the critical point itself, Senatore and March¹¹ have considered the approach to the critical point by relating Eqs. (8) and (9) to the later ansatz of Jones¹². However, his Eq. (5) for $g^{(3)}$ contained an undetermined function $a(r)$ and an unspecified constant b (related by Jones though to the critical exponent η ; see below). Utilizing Eqs. (8) and (9), Senatore and March¹¹ have partially specified the function $a(r)$ as

$$a(r) = A(r) + \frac{R(r)}{S(0)} + \text{higher order terms} \quad (10)$$

where $R(r)$ is a regular function of r . Evidently $a(r)$ becomes equal to $A(r)$ actually at the critical point since the long wavelength limit $S(0)$ of the fluid structure factor $S(k)$ diverges there.

With regard to the constant b in Jones' work, it is highly relevant to note that in the recent work of Zhang and Badiali⁶ the Callan–Symanzik equation is invoked. If we consider this as an equation for the “long-range” component, $\Gamma^L(k)$ say, of the two-point vertex function, then the long-range part of the total correlation function $h(r)$, but now in k space, say $h^L(k)$, is related to the vertex function by

$$h^L(k)\Gamma^L(k) = 1. \quad (11)$$

Then the Callan–Symanzik equation leads to

$$\Gamma^L(k) \sim k^{2-\eta}; \quad k \rightarrow 0 \quad (12)$$

with η the usual critical exponent characterizing the critical point behaviour of the pair function. To lowest order in the ε expansion, $\eta = \varepsilon^2/54$, and this result can be utilized directly, in conjunction with the ansatz of Jones¹², to get

$$b = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{324} + \text{higher order terms} \quad (13)$$

In summary, the present Letter, motivated by Ref. 6, has in addition to making the separation of $c(r)$ into two parts quite precise, three other aims:

(i) To note that, whereas customary liquid-state procedures make an ansatz on $g^{(3)}$ in the force equation to close the BBGKY hierarchy, Zhang and Badiali do this by invoking the Callan–Symanzik equation.

(ii) To get b in Jones' ansatz for $g^{(3)}$, using point (i) above with η given by $\varepsilon^2/54$ to find b as in Eq. (13).

(iii) To emphasize, following Senatore and March¹¹, that Jones' ansatz, with unspecified function $a(r)$ as well as b above has the structural shape exhibited in Eq. (10).

This leads into the final, and now speculative, comment. As noted again by Senatore and March¹¹, the form (10) leads to a connection between the correlation length ξ and the compressibility, of the form

$$\frac{1}{\xi^2} = \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \frac{(1-e)}{C_2}; \quad C_2 = \frac{\pi}{15} \frac{\rho}{k_B T} \int_0^{r_0} \frac{\partial \phi(r)}{\partial r} a(r) r^5 dr \quad (14)$$

where r_0 is the (assumed) finite range of $\phi(r)$. Here the presence of e in Eq. (14) arises from the regular function $R(r)$ in Eq. (10). But Zhang and Badiali⁶ have shown that (a) the three-body direct correlation function $c^{(3)}$ is important in characterizing critical behaviour and (b) that the fully integrated $c^{(3)}$ tends, in their normalization, to -1 at the critical point. As Senatore and March note, if $(1-e)$ tends to zero as the critical point is approached, then the modification introduced by $R(r)$ in Eq. (10) leads to a compressibility diverging less rapidly than the square of ξ , which is the qualitatively correct behaviour. Therefore, our conjecture is that $R(r)$, and hence e , are intimately related to integrals on $c^{(3)}$, or equivalently to the density derivative of $c(r)$, since

$$\frac{\partial c(r)}{\partial \rho} = \int c^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}'. \quad (15)$$

Already, this relation (15) has been employed in liquid structural theory by Barrat *et al.*¹³, as an alternative approximate closure by decoupling $c^{(3)}$; a procedure which they have utilized successfully, however, near the triple rather than the critical point.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to acknowledge that this work was done during a period spent at the Research Workshop in Condensed Matter at ICTP Trieste in 1992.

References

1. T. R. Choy and J. E. Mayer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **46**, 110 (1967).
2. L. S. Ornstein and F. Zernike, *Proc. Acad. Sci. Amsterdam*, **17**, 793 (1914).
3. See, for example, M. E. Fisher, Reports on Progress in Physics.
4. A. Parola and L. Reatto, *Phys. Rev.*, **A31**, 3309 (1975).
5. A. Parola, A. Meroni and L. Reatto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **62**, 2981 (1989).
6. Q. Zhang and J. P. Badiali, *Phys. Rev.*, **A45**, 8666 (1992).
7. N. Kumar, N. H. March and A. Wasserman, *Phys. Chem. Liquids*, **11**, 271 (1982).
8. N. H. March and G. Senatore, *Phys. Chem. Liquids*, **13**, 285 (1984).
9. R. I. M. A. Rashid, G. Senatore and N. H. March, *Phys. Chem. Liquids*, **16**, 1 (1986).
10. I. Z. Fisher, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, **62**, 1543 (1972) (*Sov. Phys. JETP*, **35**, 811 (1972)).
11. See also G. Senatore and N. H. March, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **80**, 5242 (1984).
12. G. L. Jones, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **50**, 2090 (1983).
13. J. L. Barrat, J. P. Hansen and G. Pastore, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **58**, 2075 (1987).